

Claims for divergence

August 6, 2020

Abstract

This document contains proofs of claims that are stated but not proved in the draft.

Accounting for Richer Dependence of \hat{c} on the Data

Claim 1. Suppose we begin with moments $g(D_i, \theta)$, and are interested in inference on $c = E[h(D_i, \theta)]$. Then the influence function for \hat{c} is given by

$$h(D_i, \theta) - H (G'WG)^{-1} G'Wg(D_i, \theta)$$

for $H = E\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}h(D_i, \theta)\right]$.

Proof. We can define the new parameter $\tilde{\theta} = (c, \theta)$ and the new moments

$$\tilde{g}(D_i, \tilde{\theta}) = \begin{pmatrix} h(D_i, \theta) - c \\ g(D_i, \theta) \end{pmatrix}$$

with associated weight matrix

$$\tilde{W} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & W \end{pmatrix}.$$

Estimation with these moments and weight matrix will yield the same estimates as a two-step approach where we first estimate $\hat{\theta}$ using $g(\cdot)$ and W and then estimate \hat{c} by plugging into $h(\cdot)$.

This representation allows us to derive the correct influence function for \hat{c} accounting for its direct dependence on the data D_i . Begin by noting that

$$\phi_c(D_i) = e_1' \Lambda_{\theta\tilde{g}} \tilde{g}(D_i, \tilde{\theta})$$

for e_1 the first standard basis vector. Recall that

$$\Lambda_{\theta\tilde{g}} = - \left(\tilde{G}' \tilde{W} \tilde{G} \right)^{-1} \tilde{G}' \tilde{W}$$

and note that

$$\tilde{G} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & H \\ 0 & G \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence,

$$\tilde{W} \tilde{G} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & H \\ 0 & WG \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\tilde{G}' \tilde{W} \tilde{G} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ H' & G' \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & H \\ 0 & WG \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -H \\ -H' & H'H + G'WG \end{pmatrix},$$

and the sensitivity is

$$\Lambda_{\theta\tilde{g}} = - \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -H \\ -H' & H'H + G'WG \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ H' & G'W \end{pmatrix}.$$

From the block matrix inverse formula,

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -H \\ -H' & H'H + G'WG \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + H(G'WG)^{-1}H' & H(G'WG)^{-1} \\ (G'WG)^{-1}H' & (G'WG)^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} e_1' \Lambda_{\theta\tilde{g}} &= -e_1' \begin{pmatrix} 1 + H(G'WG)^{-1}H' & H(G'WG)^{-1} \\ (G'WG)^{-1}H' & (G'WG)^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ H' & G'W \end{pmatrix} \\ &= - \begin{pmatrix} -1 & H(G'WG)^{-1}G'W \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -H(G'WG)^{-1}G'W \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

This yields the desired form for the influence function. \square