

SUPPLEMENT TO “ROBUST CONFIDENCE REGIONS FOR INCOMPLETE MODELS”: IMPLEMENTATION
(*Econometrica*, Vol. 84, No. 5, September 2016, 1799–1838)

BY LARRY G. EPSTEIN, HIROAKI KAIDO, AND KYOUNGWON SEO

We provide details on how to implement the inference method proposed in the main text.

S1. IMPLEMENTATION

CONSTRUCTION OF OUR CONFIDENCE REGION REQUIRES COMPUTING the belief function ν_θ and the critical value c_θ . For simple examples, one may compute ν_θ analytically. In general, it can be computed using a simulation procedure. Once ν_θ is obtained, the critical value c_θ can be computed using another simulation procedure, as demonstrated by the Monte Carlo experiments in Section 5 in the main text. Below, we illustrate the simulation procedures using the entry game example studied by Bresnahan and Reiss (1990, 1991), Berry (1992), and Ciliberto and Tamer (2009); the latter is CT henceforth.

Suppose there are K firms that are potential entrants into markets $i = 1, 2, \dots$. For each i , we let $s_i = (s_{i1}, \dots, s_{iK}) \in \{0, 1\}^K$ denote the vector of entry decisions made by the firms. For firm k in market i , CT considered the following profit function specification:

$$\pi_k(s_i, x_i, u_i; \theta) = \left(v'_i \alpha_k + z'_{ik} \beta_k + w'_{ik} \gamma_k + \sum_{j \neq k} \delta_j^k s_{ij} + \sum_{j \neq k} z'_{ij} s_{ij} + u_{ik} \right) s_{ik},$$

where v_i is a vector of market characteristics, $z_i = (z_{i1}, \dots, z_{iK})$ is a matrix of firm characteristics that enter the profits of all firms in the market, while $w_i = (w_{i1}, \dots, w_{iK})$ is a matrix of firm characteristics such that w_{ik} enters firm k 's profit but not other firms' profits. We let x_i collect v_i , z_i , and w_i and stack them as a vector. The unobservable payoff shifters $u_i = (u_{i1}, \dots, u_{iK})$ follow a multivariate normal distribution $N(0, \Sigma)$ and vary across markets in an i.i.d. way.¹ The structural parameter θ includes Σ and the parameters associated with the profit functions: $\{\beta_k, \gamma_k, \{\delta_j^k, \phi_j^k\}_{j \neq k}\}_{k=1}^K$.

In this example, firm k 's profit from not entering the market is 0. Hence, the set of pure strategy Nash equilibria is given by

$$(S.1) \quad G(u_i | \theta, x_i) = \{s_i \in S : \pi_k(s_i, x_i, u_i; \theta) \geq 0, \forall k = 1, \dots, K\}.$$

¹In the context of entry games played by airlines, CT modeled u_{ik} as a sum of independent normal random variables: firm-specific unobserved heterogeneity, market-specific unobserved heterogeneity, and airport-specific unobserved heterogeneity. This can also be handled by relaxing the i.i.d. assumption on m_θ^∞ .

Suppose that a sample $\{(s_i, x_i), i = 1, \dots, n\}$ of size n is available. Let A be a subset of $S = \{0, 1\}^K$. CT only used singleton events $A = \{s\}$, $s \in S$ and provided a simulation procedure to calculate $\nu_\theta(A|x)$ and its conjugate (called \mathbf{H}_1 and \mathbf{H}_2 in their paper). In general, one can use any event $A \subset S$ for inference, and we describe a simulation procedure for this general setting below.

Recall that the belief function of event A conditional on x was given by

$$(S.2) \quad \nu_\theta(A|x) = m_\theta(\{u \in U : G(u|\theta, x) \subset A\}).$$

Hence, a natural way to approximate $\nu_\theta(A|x)$ for any $A \subset S$ is to simulate u from the parametric distribution m_θ and calculate the frequency of the event $G(u|\theta, x) \subset A$. We summarize the procedure below.

Simulation Procedure 1

Step 1. Fix the number of draws R . Given Σ , draw random vectors $u^r = (u_1^r, \dots, u_K^r)$, $r = 1, \dots, R$, from $N(0, \Sigma)$.

Step 2. For each $(s, x, u^r) \in S \times X \times U$, calculate

$$I(s, x, u^r; \theta) = \begin{cases} 1, & \pi_k(s, x, u^r; \theta) \geq 0, \forall k, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

That is, $I(s, x, u^r) = 1$ if s is a pure strategy Nash equilibrium under (x, u^r) and θ .

Step 3. Compute the frequency of event $G(u^r|\theta, x) \subseteq A$ across simulation draws by computing that of $A^c \subseteq G^c(u^r|\theta, x)$:

$$(S.3) \quad \nu_\theta^R(A|x) = \frac{1}{R} \sum_{r=1}^R \prod_{s \in A^c} (1 - I(s, x, u^r; \theta)).$$

After implementing the simulation procedure above, one can evaluate the test statistic:

$$(S.4) \quad T_n(\theta) = \max_{(x,j) \in X \times \{1, \dots, J\}} \left\{ \frac{\nu_\theta^R(A_j|x) - \Psi_n(s^\infty, x^\infty)(A_j|x)}{\sqrt{\text{var}_\theta^R(A_j|x)/n}} \right\},$$

where $\text{var}_\theta^R(A_j|x) = \nu_\theta^R(A_j|x)(1 - \nu_\theta^R(A_j|x))$. The remaining task is to compute the critical value c_θ , which can be done by feeding Λ_θ into a commonly used simulator for multivariate normal random vectors.

Simulation Procedure 2

Step 1. Compute the covariance matrix Λ_θ , which is a $|X|J$ -by- $|X|J$ block-diagonal matrix where $\Lambda_{\theta, x_1}, \dots, \Lambda_{\theta, x_{|X|}}$ are the blocks:

The (j, j') th entry of each block $\Lambda_{\theta, x}$ is the covariance matrix, conditional on x : $(\Lambda_{\theta, x})_{jj'} = \text{cov}_{\theta}(A_j, A_{j'}|x)$, where $\text{cov}_{\theta}(A_j, A_{j'}|x)$ is calculated as

$$(S.5) \quad \text{cov}_{\theta}(A_i, A_j|x) = \nu_{\theta}^R(A_i \cap A_j|x) - \nu_{\theta}^R(A_i|x)\nu_{\theta}^R(A_j|x).$$

Step 2. Decompose Λ_{θ} as LDL' for a lower triangular matrix L and a diagonal matrix D .

Step 3. Generate $w^r \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} N(0, I_{|X|J})$ for $r = 1, \dots, R$. Generate $z^r = LD^{1/2}w^r$, $r = 1, \dots, R$.

Step 4. Calculate c_{θ} as the $1 - \alpha$ quantile of $\max_{k=1, \dots, |X|J} z_k / \sigma_{\theta, k}$:

$$c_{\theta} = \min \left(c \geq 0 : \frac{1}{R} \sum_{r=1}^R I \left(\max_{k=1, \dots, |X|J} z_k^r / \sigma_{\theta, k} \leq c \right) \geq 1 - \alpha \right).$$

Steps 2–3 in simulation procedure 2 are based on the Geweke–Hajivassiliou–Keane (GHK) simulator. The GHK simulator is widely used in econometrics (see, e.g., Hajivassiliou, McFadden, and Ruud (1996) for details). The only difference from the standard GHK-simulator is Step 2, in which we recommend to use the LDL decomposition instead of Cholesky decomposition. This is because Λ_{θ} may only be positive semidefinite.

Simulation procedure 2 yields a critical value c_{θ} . Hence, one can determine whether or not a value of the structural parameter should be included in the confidence region by checking if $T_n(\theta) \leq c_{\theta}$ holds. For constructing a confidence region, one needs to repeat the procedures above for different values of $\theta \in \Theta$. To save computational costs, one can draw $\{(u_1^r, \dots, u_K^r)\}_{r=1}^R$ and $\{w^r\}_{r=1}^R$ only once and use them repeatedly across all values of θ .

A final remark is that the procedures described above extend to other settings. In other models, the researcher may use a different solution concept (e.g., pairwise stability of networks) that defines the correspondence $G(\cdot|\theta, x)$, or a different parametric specification for the latent variables in the payoff function (e.g., random coefficients following a mixed logit specification). In such cases, one need modify only Steps 1 and 2 in simulation procedure 1.

REFERENCES

- BERRY, S. (1992): “Estimation of a Model of Entry in the Airline Industry,” *Econometrica*, 60, 889–917. [1]
- BRESNAHAN, T. F., AND P. C. REISS (1990): “Entry in Monopoly Markets,” *The Review of Economic Studies*, 57, 531–553. [1]
- (1991): “Empirical Models of Discrete Games,” *Journal of Econometrics*, 48, 57–81. [1]
- CILIBERTO, F., AND E. TAMER (2009): “Market Structure and Multiple Equilibria in Airline Markets,” *Econometrica*, 77, 1791–1828. [1]
- HAIJVASSILIOU, V., D. MCFADDEN, AND P. RUUD (1996): “Simulation of Multivariate Normal Rectangle Probabilities and Their Derivatives Theoretical and Computational Results,” *Journal of Econometrics*, 72, 85–134. [3]

*Dept. of Economics, Boston University, 270 Bay State Road, Boston, MA 02215,
U.S.A.; lepstein@bu.edu,*

*Dept. of Economics, Boston University, 270 Bay State Road, Boston, MA 02215,
U.S.A.; hkaido@bu.edu,*

and

*Business School, Seoul National University, 1 Gwanak-ro, Gwanak-gu, Seoul
08826, Korea; seo8240@snu.ac.kr.*

Co-editor Itzhak Gilboa handled this manuscript.

Manuscript received April, 2015; final revision received January, 2016.